arXiv:math/0010230v1 [math.FA] 25 Oct 2000

The non-Archimedean analogs of the Bochner-Kolmogorov, Minlos-Sazonov and Kakutani theorems.

Sergey V. Ludkovsky.

17 October 2000

Abstract

Measures on a non-Archimedean Banach space X are considered with values in the real field $\mathbf{R}$ and in the non-Archimedean fields. The non-Archimedean analogs of the Bochner-Kolmogorov and Minlos-Sazonov theorems are given. Moreover, infinite products of measures are considered and the analog of the Kakutani theorem is given.

1 Introduction.

There are few works about integration in a classical Banach space, that is over the field $\mathbf{R}$ of real numbers or the field $\mathbf{C}$ of complex numbers [1, 2, 3, 4, 25, 26]. On the other hand, for a non-Archimedean Banach space X (that is over a non-Archimedean field) this theory is less developed. An integration in X is a very important part of the non-Archimedean analysis. The progress of quantum mechanics and different branches of modern physics related, for example, with theories of elementary particles lead to the necessity of developing integration theory in a non-Archimedean Banach space [9, 27]. It may also be useful for the development of non-Archimedean analysis. Non-Archimedean functional analysis develops rapidly in recent years and has many principal differences from the classical functional analysis [10, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27]. Topological vector spaces over non-Archimedean fields are totally disconnected, classes of smoothness for functions and compact operators are defined for them quite differently from that of the classical case, also the notion of the orthogonality of vectors has obtained quite another meaning. In the non-Archimedean case analogs of the Radon-Nikodym theorem and the Lebesgue theorem about convergence are true under more rigorous and another conditions. Especially strong differences are for measures with values in non-Archimedean fields, because classical notions of σ-additivity and quasi-invariance have lost their meaning.

On the other hand the development of the non-Archimedean functional analysis and its applications in non-Archimedean quantum mechanics [10, 27, 28] leads to the necessity of solving such problems. For example, problems related with quantum mechanics on manifolds are related with diffeomorphism groups, their representations and measures on them [9, 12]. In articles [11, 12, 13, 14] quasi-invariant measures on diffeomorphism and loop groups and also on non-Archimedean manifolds were constructed. These measures were used for the investigation of irreducible representations of topological groups [12, 14, 15]. The theorems proved in this work enlarge classes of measures on such groups and manifolds, this also enlarges classes of representations. For example, theorems of the Minlos-Sazonov type characterize measures with the help of characteristic functionals and compact operators. In the non-Archimedean case compact operators are more useful, than nuclear operators in the classical case. Theorems of the Bochner-Kolmogorov and Kakutani type characterize products of measures and their absolute continuity relative to others measures.

In this article measures are considered on Banach spaces, though the results given below can be developed for more general topological vector spaces, for example, it is possible to follow the ideas of works [16, 17, 18], in which were considered non-Archimedean analogs of the Minlos-Sazonov theorems for real-valued measures on topological vector spaces over non-Archimedean fields of zero characteristic. But it is impossible to make in one article. In this article, apart from articles of Mądrecki, measures are considered also with values in non-Archimedean fields, for the cases of real-valued measures also Banach spaces over non-Archimedean fields $\mathbf{K}$ of characteristic $char(\mathbf{K}) > 0$ are considered. It is well-known, that a real-valued measure m on a locally compact Hausdorff totally disconnected Abelian topological group G is called the Haar measure, if

(H) $m(x + A) = m(A)$ for each $x \in G$ and each Borel subset A in G. For the s-free group G a measure m with values in a non-Archimedean field $\mathbf{K_s}$ satisfy condition (H) only for an algebra of clopen (closed and open) subsets A. Indeed, in the last case if a measure is locally finite and σ-additive on the Borel algebra of G, then it is purely atomic with atoms being singletons, so it can not be invariant relative to the entire Borel algebra (see Chapters 7-9 [21]).

In §2 weak distributions, characteristic functions of measures and their properties are defined and investigated. In §3 the non-Archimedean analogs of the Minlos-Sazonov and Bochner-Kolmogorov theorems are given. Quasi-measures also are considered. Frequently definitions, formulations of statements and their proofs differ strongly from that of classical. In §4 products of measures are considered together with their density functions. The non-Archimedean analog of the Kakutani theorem is investigated.

Notations. Henceforth, $\mathbf{K}$ denotes a locally compact infinite field with a non-trivial norm, then the Banach space X is over $\mathbf{K}$. In the present article measures on X have values in $\mathbf{R}$ or in the field $\mathbf{K_s}$, that is, a finite algebraic extension of the s-adic field $\mathbf{Q_s}$ with the certain prime number s. We assume that $\mathbf{K}$ is s-free as the additive group, for example, either $\mathbf{K}$ is a finite algebraic extension of $\mathbf{Q_p}$ or $char(\mathbf{K}) = p$ and $\mathbf{K}$ is isomorphic with a field $\mathbf{F_p}(\theta)$ consisting of elements $x = \sum_j a_j \theta^j$, where $a_j \in \mathbf{F_p}$, $|\theta| = p^{-1}$, $\mathbf{F_p}$ is a finite field of p elements, p is a prime number and $p \ne s$. These imply that $\mathbf{K}$ has the Haar measures with values in $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{K_s}$ [21]. If X is a Hausdorff topological space with a small inductive dimension $ind(X) = 0$, then

E denotes an algebra of subsets of X, as a rule $E \supset Bco(X)$ for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures and $E \supset Bf(X)$ for real-valued measures, where

$Bco(X)$ denotes an algebra of clopen (closed and open) subsets of X,

$Bf(X)$ is a Borel σ-field of X in §2.1;

$Af(X,\mu)$ is the completion of E by a measure μ in §2.1;

$\mathsf{M}(X)$ is a space of norm-bounded measures on X in §2.1;

$\mathsf{M}_t(X)$ is a space of Radon norm-bounded measures in §2.1;

$c_0(\alpha,\mathbf{K})$ is a Banach space and P_L is a projector (fixed relative to a chosen basis) in §2.2;

μ_L is a projection of a measure μ in §2.2;

$\{\mu_{L(n)} : n\}$ is a sequence of weak distributions in §2.2;

$B(X,x,r)$ is a ball in §2.2;

$L(X,\mu,\mathbf{K_s})$ in §2.4;

χ_ξ is a character with values either in $\mathbf{T}$ or $\mathbf{T_s}$ in §2.6;

$\theta(z)=\hat{\mu}$ is a characteristic functional in §2.6;

δ_0 is the Dirac measure in §2.8;

$\mu_1 * \mu_2$ is a convolution of measures in §2.11;

$\psi_{q,\mu}$ and τ_q in §2.14;

$C(X,\mathbf{K})$ is a space of continuous functions from X into $\mathbf{K}$ in §2.16;

X^* is the topological dual space of X [20];

$\hat{\mathsf{C}}(Y,\Gamma)$, $\tau(Y)$ in §3.2;

B_+, C_+ in §3.5;

$\nu \ll \mu$, $\nu \sim \mu$, $\nu \perp \mu$ in §4.1.

2 Weak distributions and families of measures.

2.1. For a Hausdorff topological space X with a small inductive dimension $ind(X)=0$ [5] the Borel σ-field is denoted $Bf(X)$. Henceforth, measures μ are given on a measurable space (X,E). The completion of $Bf(X)$ relative to μ is denoted by $Af(X,\mu)$. The total variation of μ with values in $\mathbf{R}$ on a subset A is denoted by $\|\mu|_A\|$ or $|\mu|(A)$ for $A\in Af(X,\mu)$. If μ is non-negative and $\mu(X)=1$, then it is called a probability measure.

We recall that a mapping $\mu : E \to \mathbf{K_s}$ for an algebra E of subsets of X is called a measure, if the following conditions are accomplished:

$$(i)\ \mu \text{ is additive and } \mu(\emptyset)=0,$$

(ii) for each $A \in E$ there exists the following norm

$\|A\|_\mu := \sup\{|\mu(B)|_{\mathbf{K_s}} : \ B \subset A, B \in E\} < \infty$,

(iii) if there is a shrinking family F, that is, for each

$A, B \in F$ there exist $F \ni C \subset (A \cap B)$ and $\cap\{A : A \in F\} = \emptyset$, then $\lim_{A \in F} \mu(A) = 0$ (see chapter 7 [21] and also about the completion $Af(X, \mu)$ of the algebra E by the measure μ). A measure with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ is called a probability measure if $\|X\|_\mu = 1$ and $\mu(X) = 1$. For functions $f : X \to \mathbf{K_s}$ and $\phi : X \to [0, \infty)$ there are used notations $\|f\|_\phi := \sup_{x \in X}(|f(x)|\phi(x))$, $N_\mu(x) := \inf(\|U\|_\mu : \ U \in Bco(X), \ x \in X)$, where $Bco(X)$ is an algebra of closed and at the same time open (clopen) subsets in X. Tight measures (that is, measures defined on $E \supset Bco(X)$) compose the Banach space $\mathsf{M}(X)$ with a norm $\|\mu\| := \|X\|_\mu$. Everywhere below there are considered σ-additive measures with $|\mu|(X) < \infty$ and $\|X\|_\mu < \infty$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{K_s}$ respectively, if it is not specified another.

A measure μ on E is called Radon, if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact subset $C \subset X$ such that $\|\mu|_{(X \setminus C)}\| < \epsilon$. Henceforth, $\mathsf{M}(X)$ denotes a space of norm-bounded measures, $\mathsf{M}_t(X)$ is its subspace of Radon norm-bounded measures.

2.2. Each Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ in view of Theorems 5.13 and 5.16 [21] is isomorphic with $c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K}) := \{x : \ x = (x_j : j \in \alpha), \ card(j : |x_j|_{\mathbf{K}} > b) < \aleph_0$ for each $b > 0\}$, where α is an ordinal, $card(A)$ denotes the cardinality of A, $\|x\| := \sup(|x_j| : \ j \in \alpha)$. A dimension of X over $\mathbf{K}$ is by the definition $dim_{\mathbf{K}} X := card(\alpha)$. For each closed $\mathbf{K}$-linear subspace L in X there exists an operator of a projection $P_L : X \to L$. Moreover, an orthonormal in the non-Archimedean sense basis in L has a completion to an orthonormal basis in X such that P_L can be defined in accordance with a chosen basis.

If $A \in Bf(X)$, then $P_L^{-1}(A)$ is called a cylinder subset in X with a base A, $B^L := P_L^{-1}(Bf(L))$, $B_0 := \cup(B^L : L \subset X, L$ is a Banach subspace $, dim_{\mathbf{K}} X < \aleph_0)$. The minimal σ-algebra σB_0 generated by B_0 coincides with $Bf(X)$, if $dim_{\mathbf{K}} X \le \aleph_0$. Henceforward, it is assumed that $\alpha \le \omega_0$, where ω_0 is the initial ordinal with the cardinality $\aleph_0 := card(\mathbf{N})$. Then there exists an increasing sequence of Banach subspaces $L(n) \subset L(n + 1) \subset ...$ such that $cl(\cup[L(n) : n]) = X$, $dim_{\mathbf{K}} L(n) = \kappa_n$ for each n, where $cl(A) = \bar{A}$ denotes a closure of A in X for $A \subset X$. We fix a family of projections $P^{L(m)}_{L(n)} : L(m) \to L(n)$ such that $P^{L(m)}_{L(n)} P^{L(n)}_{L(k)} = P^{L(m)}_{L(k)}$ for each $m \ge n \ge k$. A projection of the measure μ onto L denoted by $\mu_L(A) := \mu(P_L^{-1}(A))$ for each $A \in Bf(L)$ compose the consistent family:

$$(1)\ \mu_{L(n)}(A) = \mu_{L(m)}(P^{-1}_{L(n)}(A) \cap L(m))$$

for each $m \ge n$, since there are projectors $P^{L(m)}_{L(n)}$, where $\kappa_n \le \aleph_0$ and there may be chosen $\kappa_n < \aleph_0$ for each n.

An arbitrary family of measures $\{\mu_{L(n)} : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ having property (1) is called a sequence of a weak distributions (see also [4, 25]).

By $B(X, x, r)$ we denote a ball $\{y : \ y \in X, \ \|x - y\| \le r\}$, which is clopen (closed and open) in X.

2.3. Lemma. *A sequence of a weak distributions* $\{\mu_{L(n)} : n\}$ *is generated by some measure* μ *on* $Bf(X)$ *if and only if for each* $c > 0$ *there exists* $b > 0$ *such that* $||\mu_{L(n)}|(B(X, 0, r) \cap L(n)) - |\mu_{L(n)}|(L(n))| \le c$ *and* $\sup_n |\mu_{L(n)}|(L(n)) < \infty$ *for* μ *with values in* $\mathbf{R}$*;*

or $\|L(n) \setminus B(X, 0, r)\|_{\mu_{L(n)}} \le c$ *and* $\sup_n \|L(n)\|_{\mu_{L(n)}} < \infty$ *for* μ *with values in* $\mathbf{K_s}$*, where* $r \ge b$.

Proof. In the case of μ with values in $\mathbf{R}$ we can use a Hahn decomposition $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ and substitute everywhere in the proof of Lemma 1 §2[25] a Hilbert space over $\mathbf{R}$ onto X over $\mathbf{K}$, since X is a Radon space in view of Theorem 1.2 §I.1.3 [4], then $|\mu|(A) = \mu^+(A) + \mu^-(A)$ for $A \in Bf(X)$.

For μ with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ the necessity is evident. To prove the sufficiency it remains only to verify property (2.1.iii), since then $\|X\|_\mu = \sup_n \|L(n)\|_{\mu_{L(n)}} < \infty$. Let $B(n) \in E(L(n))$, $A(n) = P^{-1}_{L(n)}(B(n))$, by Theorem 7.6 [21] for each $c > 0$ there is a compact subset $C(n) \subset B(n)$ such that $\|B(n) \setminus C(n)\|_{\mu_{L(n)}} < c$, where $\|B(n) \setminus D(n)\|_\mu \le \max(\|B(m) \setminus C(m)\|_{\mu_{L(m)}} : m = 1, ..., n) < c$ and $D(n) := \bigcap_{m=1}^n {P_{L(m)}}^{-1}(C(m)) \cap L(n))$, $P^{-1}_{L(n)}(E(L(n)) \subset E = E(X)$. If $A(n) \supset A(n+1) \supset ...$ and $\bigcap_n A(n) = \emptyset$, then $A'(n+1) \subset A'(n)$ and $\bigcap_n A'(n) = \emptyset$, where $A'(n) := P^{-1}_{L(n)}(D(n))$, hence $\|A(n)\|_\mu \le \|A'(n)\|_\mu + c$. There may be taken $B(n)$ as closed subsets in X. In view of the Alaoglu-Bourbaki theorem (see Exer. 9.202(a.3) [20]) and the Hahn-Banach theorem (4.8 [21]) sets $A(n)$ and $B(X,0,r)$ are weakly compact in X, hence, for each $r>0$ there exists n with $B(X,0,r)\cap A(n)=\emptyset$. Therefore, $\|A(n)\|_\mu = \|B(n)\|_{\mu_{L(n)}} \le \|L(n)\setminus B(X,0,r)\|_{\mu_{L(n)}} \le c$ and there exists $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(A(n))=0$, since c is arbitrary.

2.4. Definition and notations. A function $\phi : X\to \mathbf{R}$ (or $\mathbf{K_s}$) of the form $\phi(x)=\phi_S(P_Sx)$ is called a cylinder function if ϕ_S is a $Bf(S)$-measurable (or $E(S)$-measurable respectively) function on a finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ space S in X. For $\phi_S\in L^1(S,\mu,\mathbf{R})$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\phi_S\in L(S,\mu_S,\mathbf{K_s}) := L(\mu_S)$ for μ with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ we may define an integral by a sequence of weak distributions $\{\mu_{S(n)}\}$:

$$\int_X \phi(x)\mu_*(dx) := \int \phi_{S(n)}(x)\mu_{S(n)}(dx),$$

where $L(\mu)$ is the Banach space of classes of μ-integrable functions ($f=g$ μ-almost everywhere, that is, $\|A\|_\mu=0$, $A:=\{x: f(x)\ne g(x)\}$ is μ-negligible) with the following norm $\|f\|:=\|g\|_{N_\mu}$ [1, 21, 25].

2.5. Lemma. *A subset $A\subset X=c_0(\omega_0,\mathbf{K})$ is relatively compact if and only if A is bounded and for each $c>0$ there exists a finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspace $L\subset X$ such that $\bar A\subset L^c := \{y\in X:\ d(y,L):=\inf\{\|x-y\| : x\in L\}\le c\}$.*

Proof. If A is bounded and for each $c>0$ there exists L^c with $\bar A\subset L^c$, then there is a sequence $\{k(j):\ j\in\mathbf{N}\}\subset\mathbf{Z}$ such that $\lim_{j\to\infty}k(j)=\infty$, $\bar A\subset\{x\in X:\ |x_j|\le p^{-k(j)},\ j=1,2,...\}=:S$, but X is Lindelöf, S is sequentially compact, hence $\bar A$ is compact (see §3.10.31 [5]). If $\bar A$ is compact, then for each $c>0$ there exists a finite number m such that $\bar A\subset\bigcup_{j=1}^m B(X,x_j,c)$, where $x_j\in X$. Therefore, $\bar A\subset L^c$ for $L=sp_K(x_j : j=1,...,m) := (x=\sum_{j=1}^m b_jx_j : b_j\in K)$.

2.6. Remarks and definitions. As an additive group $\mathbf{K}$ is isomorphic with $\mathbf{Q_p^n}$ with $n\in\mathbf{N}:=\{1,2,...\}$. The topologically adjoint space over $\mathbf{Q_p}$ (that is, of continuous linear functionals $f:K\to\mathbf{Q_p}$) is isomorphic with $\mathbf{Q_p^n}$ [8]. For x and $z\in\mathbf{Q_p^n}$ we denote by $z(x)$ the following sum $\sum_{j=1}^n x_jz_j$, where $x=(x_j : j=1,...,n)$, $x_j\in\mathbf{Q_p}$. Each number $y\in\mathbf{Q_p}$ has a decomposition $y=\sum_l a_lp^l$, where $\min(l : a_l\ne 0) =: ord_p(y) > -\infty$ ($ord(0):=\infty$) [20], $a_l\in(0,1,...,p-1)$, we define a symbol $\{y\}_p := \sum_{l<0}a_lp^l$ for $|y|_p>1$ and

$\{y\}_p = 0$ for $|y|_p \le 1$.

For a locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$ with a characteristic $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 0$ let $\pi_j(x) := a_j$ for each $x = \sum_j a_j \theta^j \in \mathbf{K}$ (see Notation). All continuous characters $\chi : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{C}$ (or $\chi : K \to \mathbf{C_s}$) have the form $\chi = \chi_\xi(x) = exp\{2\pi i \eta(\xi(x))\}$, where $\pi_j : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{R}$, $\eta(x) := \{x\}_p$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{Q_p^{n*}} = \mathbf{Q_p^n}$ for $char(\mathbf{K}) = 0$, $\eta(x) := \pi_0(x)/p$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{K}^* = \mathbf{K}$ for $char(\mathbf{K}) = p > 0$, $x \in \mathbf{K}$, $i = (-1)^{(1/2)}$ (see §25 [8]), $exp : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$. Each χ is locally constant, hence $\chi : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{T}$ (or $\chi : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{T_s}$) is also continuous, where $\mathbf{T}$ denotes the discrete group of all roots of 1 (by multiplication), $\mathbf{T_s}$ denotes its subgroup of elements with orders that are not degrees s^m of s, $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

For a measure μ with values in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{K_s}$ there exists a characteristic functional (that is, called the Fourier-Stieltjes transformation) $\theta = \theta_\mu : C(X, \mathbf{K}) \to \mathbf{C}$ or $\mathbf{C_s}$:

$$(2)\ \theta(f) := \int_X \chi_e(f(x))\mu(dx),$$

where $e = (1, ..., 1)$, $x \in X$, f is in the space $C(X, \mathbf{K})$ of continuous functions from X into $\mathbf{K}$, in particular for $z = f$ in the topologically conjugated space X^* over $\mathbf{K}$, $z : X \to \mathbf{K}$, $z \in X^*$, $\theta(z) =: \hat{\mu}(z)$. It has the folowing properties:

$$(3a)\ \theta(0) = 1 \text{ for } \mu(X) = 1$$

and $\theta(f)$ is bounded on $C(X, \mathbf{K})$;

$$(3b)\ \sup_f |\theta(f)| = 1 \text{ for probability measures };$$

$$(4)\ \theta(z) \text{ is weakly continuous, that is, } (X^*, \sigma(X^*, X))\text{-continuous,}$$

$\sigma(X^*, X)$ denotes a weak topology on X^*, induced by the Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$. To each $x \in X$ there corresponds a continuous linear functional $x^* : X^* \to \mathbf{K}$, $x^*(z) := z(x)$, moreover, $\theta(f)$ is uniformly continuous relative to the norm on

$$C_b(X, \mathbf{K}) := \{f \in C(X, \mathbf{K}) : \|f\| := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|_{\mathbf{K}} < \infty\};$$

$$(5)\ \theta(z) \text{ is positive definite on } X^* \text{ and on } C(X, \mathbf{K})$$

for μ with values in $[0, \infty)$.

Property (4) follows from Lemma 2.3, boundedness and continuity of χ_e and the fact that due to the Hahn-Banach theorem there is $x_z \in X$ with $z(x_z) = 1$ for $z \ne 0$ such that $z|_{(X \ominus L)} = 0$ and

$$\theta(z) = \int_X \chi_e(P_L(x))\mu(dx) = \int_L \chi_e(y)\mu_L(dy),$$

where $L = Kx_z$, also due to the Lebesgue theorem 2.4.9 [6] for real measures (or from Exer. 7.F [21] for μ with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$, see also §4.2[26]). Indeed, for each $c > 0$ there exists a compact subset $S \subset X$ such that $|\mu|(X \setminus S) < c$ (or $\|X \setminus S\|_\mu < c$), each bounded subset $A \subset X^*$ is uniformly equicontinuous on S (see (9.5.4) and Exer. 9.202 [20]), that is, $\{\chi_e(z(x)) : z \in A\}$ is the uniformly equicontinuous family (by $x \in S$). On the other hand, $\chi_e(f(x))$ is uniformly equicontinuous on a bounded $A \subset C_b(X, \mathbf{K})$ by $x \in S$.

Property (5) is accomplished, since

$$\sum_{l,j=1}^{N} \theta(f_l - f_j)\alpha_l \bar{\alpha}_j = \int_X |\sum_{j=1}^{N} \alpha_j \chi_e(f_j(x))|^2 \mu(dx) \geq 0,$$

particularly, for $f_j = z_j \in X$, where $\bar{\alpha}_j$ is a complex conjugated number to α_j.

We call a functional θ finite-dimensionally concentrated, if there exists $L \subset X$, $dim_{\mathbf{K}} L < \aleph_0$, such that $\theta|_{(X \setminus L)} = \mu(X)$. For each $c > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ in view of Theorem I.1.2 [4] (or Theorem 7.6[21]) and Lemma 2.5 there exists a finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspace L and compact $S \subset L^\delta$ such that $\|X \setminus S\|_\mu < c$. Let $\theta^L(z) := \theta(P_L z)$.

This definition is correct, since $L \subset X$, X has the isometrical embedding into X^* as the normed space associated with the fixed basis of X, such that functionals $z \in X$ separate points in X. If $z \in L$, then $|\theta(z) - \theta^L(z)| \le c \times b \times q$, where $b = \|X\|_\mu$, q is independent of c and b. Each characteristic functional $\theta^L(z)$ is uniformly continuous by $z \in L$ relative to the norm $\|*\|$ on L, since $|\theta^L(z) - \theta^L(y)| \le |\int_{S' \cap L} [\chi_e(z(x)) - \chi_e(y(x))]\, \mu_L(dx)|$ $+| \int_{L \setminus S'} [\chi_e(z(x)) - \chi_e(y(x))]\, \mu_L(dx)|$, where the second term does not exceed $2C'$ for $\|L \setminus S'\|_{\mu_L} < c'$ for a suitable compact subset $S' \subset X$ and $\chi_e(z(x))$ is an uniformly equicontinuous by $x \in S'$ family relative to $z \in B(L, 0, 1)$.

Therefore,

$$(6)\ \theta(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n(z)$$

for each finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspace L, where $\theta_n(z)$ is uniformly equicontinuous and finite-dimensionally concentrated on $L(n) \subset X$, $z \in X$, $cl(\bigcup_n L(n)) = X$, $L(n) \subset L(n+1)$ for every n, for each $c > 0$ there are n and $q > 0$ such that $|\theta(z) - \theta_j(z)| \le cbq$ for $z \in L(j)$ and $j > n$, $q = const > 0$ is independent of j, c and b. Let $\{e_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be the standard orthonormal basis in X, $e_j = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...)$ with 1 in j-th place. Using countable additivity of μ, local constantness of χ_e, considering all $z = be_j$ and $b \in \mathbf{K}$, we get that $\theta(z)$ on X is non-trivial, whilst μ is a non-zero measure, since due to Lemma 2.3 μ is characterized uniquely by $\{\mu_{L(n)}\}$. Indeed, for μ with values in $\mathbf{R}$ a measure μ_V on V, $dim_{\mathbf{K}} V < \aleph_0$, this follows from the properties of the Fourier transformation F on spaces of generalized functions and also on $L^2(V, \mu_V, \mathbf{C})$ (see §7 [27]), for μ with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ this is also true due to Theorem 9.20[8], where

$$F(g)(z) := \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{B(V,0,r)} \chi_e(z(x)) g(x) m(dx),$$

$z \in V$, $g \in L(V, \mu_V, \mathbf{C_s})$, m is the Haar measure on V either with values in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{K_s}$ respectively. Therefore, the mapping $\mu \mapsto \theta_\mu$ is injective.

2.7. Proposition. *Let* $X = K^j$, $j \in \mathbf{N}$,

(a) μ *and* ν *be real probability measures on* X, *suppose* ν *is symmetric. Then* $\int_X \hat{\mu}(x)\nu(dx) = \int_X \hat{\nu}(x)\mu(dx) \in \mathbf{R}$ *and for each* $0 < l < 1$ *is accomplished the following inequality:*
$\mu([x \in X : \hat{\nu}(x) \le l]) \le \int_X (1 - \hat{\mu}(x))\nu(dx)/(1 - l)$.

(b). For each real probability measure μ *on* X *there exists* $r > p^3$ *such that for each* $R > r$ *and* $t > 0$ *the following inequality is accomplished:*
$\mu([x \in X : \|x\| \ge tR]) \le c \int_X [1 - \hat{\mu}(y\xi)]\nu(dy)$,
where $\nu(dx) = C \times exp(-|x|^2) m(dx)$, m *is the Haar measure on* X *with values in* $[0, \infty)$, $m(B(X, 0, 1)) = 1$, $\nu(X) = 1$, $2 > c = const \ge 1$ *is independent on* t, $c = c(r)$ *is non-increasing whilst* r *is increasing,* $C > 0$.

Proof. (a). Recall that ν is symmetric, if $\nu(B) = \nu(-B)$ for each $B \in Bf(X)$. Therefore, $\int_X \chi_e(z(x))\nu(dx) = \int_X \chi_e(-z(x))\nu(dx)$, that is equivalent to $\int_X sin(2\pi\{z(x)\}_p)\nu(dx) = 0$ or $\hat{\nu}(z) \in \mathbf{R}$. If $0 < l < 1$, then $\mu([x \in X : \hat{\nu}(x) \le l]) = \mu([x : 1 - \hat{\nu}(x) \ge 1 - l]) \le \int_X (1 - \hat{\nu}(x))\mu(dx)/(1 - l) = \int_X (1 - \hat{\mu}(x))\nu(dx)/(1 - l)$ due to the Fubini theorem.

(b). Let $\nu(dx) = \gamma(x)m(dx)$, where $\gamma(x) = C \times exp(-|x|^2)$, $C > 0$, $\nu(X) = 1$. Then $F(\gamma)(z) =: \hat{\gamma}(z) \ge 0$, and $\hat{\gamma}(0) = 1$ and γ is the continuous positive definite function with $\gamma(z) \to 0$ whilst $|z| \to \infty$. In view of (a): $\mu([x : \|x\| \ge tR]) \le \int_X [1 - \hat{\mu}(y\xi)]\nu(dy)/(1 - l)$, where $|\xi| = 1/t$, $t > 0$, $l = l(R)$. Estimating integrals, we get (b).

2.8. Lemma. *Let in the notation of Proposition 2.7* $\nu_\xi(dx) = \gamma_\xi(x)m(dx)$, $\gamma_\xi(x) = C(\xi)exp(-|x\xi|^2)$, $\nu_\xi(X) = 1$, $\xi \ne 0$, *then a measure* ν_ξ *is weakly converging to the Dirac measure* δ_0 *with the support in* $0 \in X$ *for* $|\xi| \to \infty$.

Proof. We have: $C(\xi)^{-1} = C_q(\xi)^{-1} = \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}} [p^{lq} - p^{(l-1)q}] exp(-p^{2l}|\xi|^2) < \infty$, where the sum by $l < 0$ does not exceed 1, $q = jn$, $j = dim_{\mathbf{K}} X$, $n = dim_{\mathbf{Q_p}} \mathbf{K}$. Here $\mathbf{K}$ is considered as the Banach space $\mathbf{Q_p^n}$ with the following norm $| * |_p$ equivalent to $| * |_{\mathbf{K}}$, for $x = (x_1, ..., x_j) \in X$ with $x_l \in \mathbf{K}$ as usually $|x|_p = \max_{1 \le l \le j} |x_l|_p$, for $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in \mathbf{K}$ with $y_l \in \mathbf{Q_p}$: $|y|_p := \max_{1 \le l \le n} |y_l|_{\mathbf{Q_p}}$. Further, $p^{l+s} \sum_{x_l \ne 0} exp(2\pi i \sum_{i=l}^{-s-1} x_i p^{i+s})$ $= \int_{p^{l+s}}^{1} exp(2\pi i \phi)d\phi + \beta(s)$, where $s + l < 0$, $\lim_{s \to -\infty} (\beta(s)p^{-s-l}) = 0$, therefore, $sup[|\hat{\gamma}_1(z)|_{\mathbf{R}} |z|_X : z \in X, |z| \ge p^3] \le 2$. Then taking $0 \ne \xi \in \mathbf{K}$ and carrying out the substitution of variable for continuous and bounded functions $f : X \to \mathbf{R}$ we get $\lim_{|\xi| \to \infty} \int_X f(x)\nu_\xi(dx) = f(0)$. This means that ν_ξ is weakly converging to δ_0 for $|\xi| \to \infty$.

2.9. Theorem. *Let* μ_1 *and* μ_2 *be measures in* $\mathsf{M}(X)$ *such that* $\hat{\mu}_1(f) = \hat{\mu}_2(f)$ *for each* $f \in \Gamma$. *Then* $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, *where* $X = c_0(\alpha, K)$, $\alpha \le \omega_0$, Γ *is a vector subspace in a space of continuous functions* $f : X \to \mathbf{K}$ *separating points in* X.

Proof. Let at first $\alpha < \omega_0$, then due to continuity of the convolution $\gamma_\xi * \mu_j$ by ξ, and Proposition 4.5 §I.4[26] and Lemma 2.8 we get $\mu_1 = \mu_2$, since the family Γ generates $Bf(X)$. Now let $\alpha = \omega_0$, $A = \{x \in X : (f_1(x), ..., f_n(x)) \in S\}$, ν_j be an image of a measure μ_j for a mapping $x \mapsto (f_1(x), ..., f_n(x))$, where either $S \in Bf(\mathbf{K^n})$ or $S \in E(\mathbf{K^n})$, $f_j \in X \hookrightarrow X^*$. Then $\hat{\nu}_1(y) = \hat{\mu}_1(y_1 f_1 + ... + y_n f_n) = \hat{\mu}_2(y_1 f_1 + ... + y_n f_n) = \hat{\nu}_2(y)$ for each $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in K^n$, consequently, $\nu_1 = \nu_2$ on E. Further we can use the

Prohorov theorem 3.4 §1.3 [26], since compositions of $f \in \Gamma$ with continuous functions $g : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{R}$ or $g : \mathbf{K} \to \mathbf{K_s}$ respectively generate a family of real-valued or $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued functions correspondingly separating points of X.

2.10. Proposition. *Let μ_l and μ be measures in $\mathsf{M}(X_l)$ and $\mathsf{M}(X)$ respectively, where $X_l = c_0(\alpha_l, K)$, $\alpha_l \le \omega_0$, $X = \prod_1^n X_l$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then the condition $\hat\mu(z_1, ..., z_n) = \prod_{l=1}^n \hat\mu_l(z_l)$ for each $(z_1, ..., z_n) \in X \hookrightarrow X^*$ is equivalent to $\mu = \prod_{l=1}^n \mu_l$.*

Proof. Let $\mu = \prod_{l=1}^n \mu_l$, then $\hat\mu(z_1, ..., z_n) = \int_X \chi_e(\sum z_l(x_l)) \prod_{l=1}^n \mu_l(dx_l)$ $= \prod_{l=1}^n \int_{X_l} \chi_e(z_l(x_l))\mu_l(dx_l)$. The reverse statement follows from Theorem 2.9.

2.11. Proposition. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$; suppose μ, μ_1 and μ_2 are probability measures on X. Then the following conditions are equivalent: μ is the convolution of two measures μ_j, $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$, and $\hat\mu(z) = \hat\mu_1(z)\hat\mu_2(z)$ for each $z \in X$.*

Proof. Let $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$. This means by the definition that μ is the image of the measure $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ for the mapping $(x_1, x_2) \to x_1 + x_2$, $x_j \in X$, consequently, $\hat\mu(z) = \int_{X\times X} \chi_e(z(x_1 + x_2))\ (\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2)(d(x_1, x_2)) = \prod_{l=1}^2 \int_X \chi_e(z(x_l))\mu_l(dx_l)$ $= \hat\mu_1(z)\hat\mu_2(z)$. On the other hand, if $\hat\mu_1\hat\mu_2 = \mu$, then $\hat\mu = (\mu_1 * \mu_2)^\wedge$ and due to Theorem 2.9 above for real measures, or Theorem 9.20[21] for measures with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$, we have $\mu = \mu_1 * \mu_2$.

2.12. Corollary. *Let ν be a probability measure on $Bf(X)$ and $\mu * \nu = \mu$ for each μ with values in the same field, then $\nu = \delta_0$.*

Proof. If $z_0 \in X \hookrightarrow X^*$ and $\hat\mu(z_0) \ne 0$, then from $\hat\mu(z_0)\hat\nu(z_0) = \hat\mu(z_0)$ it follows that $\hat\nu_0(z_0) = 1$. From the property 2.6(6) we get that there exists $m \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\hat\mu(z) \ne 0$ for each z with $\|z\| = p^{-m}$, since $\hat\mu(0) = 1$. Then $\hat\nu(z + z_0) = 1$, that is, $\hat\nu|_{(B(X,z_0,p^{-m}))} = 1$. Since μ are arbitrary we get $\hat\nu|_X = 1$, that is, $\nu = \delta_0$ due to §2.6 and §2.9 for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures and real-valued measures.

2.13. Corollary. *Let X and Y be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, (a) μ and ν be probability measures on X and Y respectively, suppose $T : X \to Y$ is a continuous linear operator. A measure ν is an image of μ for T if and only if $\hat\nu = \hat\mu \circ T^*$, where $T^* : Y^* \to X^*$ is an adjoint operator. (b). A characteristic functional of a real measure μ on $Bf(X)$ is real if and only if μ is symmetric.*

Proof follows from §2.6 and §2.9.

2.14. Definition. We say that a real probability measure μ on $Bf(X)$ for a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ and $0 < q < \infty$ has a weak q-th order if $\psi_{q,\mu}(z) = \int_X |z(x)|^q \mu(dx) < \infty$ for each $z \in X^*$. The weakest vector topology in X^* relative to which all $(\psi_{q,\mu} : \mu)$ are continuous is denoted by τ_q.

2.15. Theorem. *A characteristic functional $\hat{\mu}$ of a real probability Radon measure μ on $Bf(X)$ is continuous in the topology τ_q for each $q > 0$.*

Proof. For each $c > 0$ there exists a compact $S \subset X$ such that $\mu(S) > 1 - c/4$ and

$$|1-\hat{\mu}(z)| \le |\int_S (1-\chi_e(z(x)))\mu(dx)| + |\int_{X\setminus S}(1-\chi_e(z(x)))\mu(dx)| \le |1-\hat{\mu}_c(z)| + c/2,$$

where $\mu_c(A) = (\mu(A \cap S)/\mu(S)$ and $A \in Bf(X)$; further analogously to the proof of IV.2.3[26].

2.16. Proposition. *For a completely regular space X with $ind(X) = 0$ the following statements are accomplished:*

(a) if (μ_β) is a bounded net of measures in $\mathsf{M}(X)$ that weakly converges to a measure μ in $\mathsf{M}(X)$, then $(\hat{\mu}_\beta(f))$ converges to $\hat{\mu}(f)$ for each continuous $f : X \to \mathbf{K}$; if X is separable and metrizable then $(\hat{\mu}_\beta)$ converges to $\hat{\mu}$ uniformly on subsets that are uniformly equicontinuous in $C(X, \mathbf{K})$;

(b) if M is a bounded dense family in a ball of the space $\mathsf{M}(X)$ for measures in $\mathsf{M}(X)$, then a family $(\hat{\mu} : \mu \in M)$ is equicontinuous on a locally $\mathbf{K}$-convex space $C(X, \mathbf{K})$ in a topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets $S \subset X$.

Proof. (a). Functions $exp(2\pi i\eta(\{f(x)\}))$ are continuous and bounded on X, where $\hat{\mu}(f) = \int_X \chi_e(f(x))\mu(dx)$. Then (a) follows from the definition of the weak convergence and Proposition 1.3.9[26], since $sp_{\mathbf{C}}\{exp(2\pi i\{f(x)\}_p) : f \in C(X, \mathbf{K})\}$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbf{C})$ and $sp_{\mathbf{C_s}}\{exp(2\pi i\eta(f(x)) : f \in C(X, \mathbf{K}\}$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbf{C_s})$.

(b). For each $c > 0$ there exists a compact subset $S \subset X$ such that $|\mu|(S) > |\mu(X)| - c/4$ for real-valued measures or $\|\mu|_{(X\setminus S)}\| < c/4$ for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures. Therefore, for $\mu \in M$ and $f \in C(X, K)$ with $|f(x)|_K < c < 1$ for $x \in S$ we get $|\mu(X) - Re(\hat{\mu}(f)| = 2|\int_X sin^2(\pi\eta(f(x)))\mu(dx)| < c/2$ for real-valued μ and $|\mu(X) - \hat{\mu}(f)| = |\int_X(1 - \chi_e(f(x))\mu(dx)| < c/2$ for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued μ, since for $c < 1$ and $x \in S$ we have $sin(\pi\eta(f(x))) = 0$. Further analogously to the proof of Proposition IV.3.1[26], since X is the T_1-space and for each point x and each closed subset S in X with $x \notin S$ there is a continuous function $h : X \to B(K, 0, 1)$ such that $h(x) = 0$ and $h(S) = \{1\}$.

2.17. Theorem. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, $\eta : \Gamma \to \mathbf{C}$ be a continuous positive definite function, (μ_β) be a bounded weakly relatively compact net in the space $\mathsf{M}_t(X)$ of Radon norm-bounded measures and there exists $\lim_\beta \hat{\mu}_\beta(f) = \gamma(f)$ for each $f \in \Gamma$ and uniformly on compact subsets of the completion $\tilde{\Gamma}$, where $\Gamma \subset C(X, K)$ is a vector subspace separating points in X. Then (μ_β) weakly converges to $\mu \in \mathsf{M}_t(X)$ with $\hat{\mu}|_\Gamma = \gamma$.*

Proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem IV.3.1[26] and follows from Theorem 2.9 above and for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures using the non-Archimedean Lebesgue convergence theorem (see Ch. 7 [21]).

2.18. Theorem. *(a). A bounded family of measures in $\mathsf{M}(\mathbf{K^n})$ is weakly relatively compact if and only if a family $(\hat{\mu} : \mu \in M)$ is equicontinuous on $\mathbf{K^n}$.*

(b). If $(\mu_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$ is a bounded sequence of measures in $\mathsf{M}_t(\mathbf{K^n})$, $\gamma : \mathbf{K^n} \to \mathbf{C}$ is a continuous (and in addition positive definite for real-valued μ_j) function, $\hat{\mu}_j(y) \to \gamma(y)$ for each $y \in \mathbf{K^n}$ (and uniformly on compact subsets in $\mathbf{K^n}$ for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures), then (μ_j) weakly converges to a measure μ with $\hat{\mu} = \gamma$.

(c). A bounded sequence of measures (μ_j) in $\mathsf{M}_t(\mathbf{K^n})$ weakly convereges to a measure μ in $\mathsf{M}_t(\mathbf{K^n})$ if and only if for each $y \in K^n$ there exists $\lim_{j\to\infty} \hat{\mu}_j(y) = \hat{\mu}(y)$.

(d). If a bounded net (μ_β) in $\mathsf{M}_t(\mathbf{K^n})$ converges uniformly on each bounded subset in $\mathbf{K^n}$, then (μ_β) converges weakly to a measure μ in $\mathsf{M}_t(\mathbf{K^n})$, where $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Proof. (a). This follows from the Prohorov theorem 1.3.6[26] and Propositions 2.7, 2.16.

(b). We have the following inequality: $\lim_m \sup_{j>m} \mu_j([x \in K^n : |x| \geq tR]) \leq 2 \int_{K^n} (1 - Re(\eta(\xi y)))\nu(dy)$ with $|\xi| = 1/t$ due to §2.7 and §2.8 for real-valued measures. Due to the non-Archimedean Fourier transform and the Lebesgue convergence theorem [21] for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures and from the condition $\lim_{R\to\infty} \sup_{|y|>R} |\gamma(y)| R^n = 0$ it follows, that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that $\lim_m \sup_{j>m} \| \mu_j|_{\{x \in \mathbf{K^n} : |x| > R\}} \| \le 2 \sup_{|y|>R} |\gamma(y)| R < \epsilon$ for each $R > R_0$. In view of Theorem 2.17 (μ_j) converges weakly to μ with $\hat{\mu} = \gamma$. (c,d). These may be proved analogously to IV.3.2[26].

2.19. Corollary. *If $(\hat{\mu}_\beta) \to 1$ uniformly on some neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbf{K^n}$ for a bounded net of measures μ_β in $\mathsf{M}_t(\mathbf{K^n})$, then (μ_β) converges weakly to δ_0.*

2.20. Definition. A family of probability measures $M \subset \mathsf{M}_t(X)$ for a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ is called planely concentrated if for each $c > 0$ there exists a $\mathbf{K}$-linear subspace $S \subset X$ with $dim_{\mathbf{K}} S = n < \aleph_0$ such that $\inf(\mu(S^c))| : \mu \in M) > 1 - c$. The Banach space $\mathsf{M}_t(X)$ is supplied with the following norm $\|\mu\| := |\mu|(X)$.

2.21. Lemma. *Let S and X be the same as in §2.20; $z_1, ..., z_m \in X^*$ be a separating family of points in S. Then a set $E := S^c \cap (x \in X : |z_j(x)| \le r_j;$ $j = 1, ..., m)$ is bounded for each $c > 0$ and $r_1, ..., r_m \in (0, \infty)$.*

Proof. A space S is isomorphic with $\mathbf{K^n}$, consequently, $p(x) = \max(|z_j| : j = 1, ..., m)$ is a norm in S equivalent to the initial norm.

2.22. Theorem. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$ with a family $\Gamma \subset X$ separating points in $M \subset \mathsf{M}_t(X)$. Then M is weakly relatively compact if and only if a family $\{\mu_z : \mu \in M\}$ is weakly relatively compact for each $z \in \Gamma$ and M is planely concentrated, where μ_z is an image measure on $\mathbf{K}$ of a measure μ induced by z.*

Proof follows from Lemmas 2.5, 2.21 and the Prohorov theorem (see also Theorem 1.3.7[26] with a substitution $[-r_j, r_j]$ onto $B(\mathbf{K}, 0, r_j)$).

2.23. Theorem. *For X and Γ the same as in Theorem 2.22 a sequence $\{\mu_j : j \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset \mathsf{M}_t(X)$ is weakly convergent to $\mu \in \mathsf{M}_t(X)$ if and only if for each $z \in \Gamma$ there exists $\lim_{j\to\infty} \hat{\mu}_j(z) = \hat{\mu}(z)$ and a family $\{\mu_j\}$ is planely concentrated.*

Proof follows from Theorems 2.17,18,22 (see also Theorem IV.3.3[26]).

2.24. Proposition. *Let X be a weakly regular space with $ind(X) = 0$, $\Gamma \subset C(X, K)$ be a vector subspace separating points in X, $(\mu_n : n \in \mathbf{N})$ $\subset \mathsf{M}_t(X)$, $\mu \in \mathsf{M}_t(X)$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{\mu}_n(f) = \hat{\mu}(f)$ for each $f \in \Gamma$. Then (μ_n) is weakly convergent to μ relative to the weakest topology $\sigma(X, \Gamma)$ in X relative to which all $f \in \Gamma$ are continuous.*

Proof follows from Theorem 2.18 and is analogous to the proof of Proposition IV.3.3[26].

3 The non-Archimedean analogs of the Minlos-Sazonov and Bochner-Kolmogorov theorems.

3.1. Let $(X, \mathsf{U}) = \prod_\lambda (X_\lambda, \mathsf{U}_\lambda)$ be a product of measurable completely regular Radon spaces $(X_\lambda, \mathsf{U}_\lambda) = (X_\lambda, \mathsf{U}_\lambda, \mathsf{K}_\lambda)$, where K_λ are compact classes approximating from below each measure μ_λ on $(X_\lambda, \mathsf{U}_\lambda)$, that is, for each $c > 0$ and elements A of an algebra U_λ there is $S \in \mathsf{K}_\lambda$, $S \subset A$ with $\|A \setminus S\|_{\mu_\lambda} < c$.

Theorem. *Each bounded quasi-measure μ with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ on (X, U) (that is, $\mu|_{\mathsf{U}_\lambda}$ is a bounded measure for each λ) is extendible to a measure on an algebra $Af(X, \mu) \supset \mathsf{U}$, where an algebra U is generated by a family $(\mathsf{U}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda)$.*

Proof. We have 2.1(i) by the condition and $\|X\|_\mu < \infty$, if 2.1(iii) is satisfied. It remains to prove 2.1(iii). For each sequence $(A_n) \subset \mathsf{U}$ with $\bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$ and each $c > 0$ for each $j \in \mathbf{N}$ we choose $K_j \in \mathsf{K}$, where the compact class K is generated by (K_λ) (see Proposition 1.1.8[4]), such that $K_j \subset A_j$ and $\|A_j \setminus K_j\|_\mu < c$. Since $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n \subset \bigcap_n A_n = \emptyset$, then there exists $l \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\bigcap_{n=1}^l K_n = \emptyset$, hence $A_l = A_l \setminus \bigcap_{n=1}^l K_n \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^l (A_n \setminus K_n)$, consequently, $\|A_l\|_\mu \le \max_{n=1,...,l}(\|A_n \setminus K_n\|_\mu) < c$. It remains to use Theorem 7.8[21] about uniqueness of an extension of a measure.

3.2. Definition. Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, then a mapping $f : X \to \mathbf{C}$ is called pseudocontinuous, if its restriction $f|_L$ is uniformly continuous for each subspace $L \subset X$ with $dim_{\mathbf{K}} L < \aleph_0$. Let Γ be a family of mappings $f : Y \to \mathbf{K}$ of a set Y into a field $\mathbf{K}$. We denote by $\hat{\mathsf{C}}(Y, \Gamma)$ the minimal σ-algebra (that is called cylinder) generated by an algebra $\mathsf{C}(Y, \Gamma)$ of subsets of the form $C_{f_1,...,f_n;E} := \{x \in X : (f_1(x), ..., f_n(x)) \in S\}$, where $S \in Bf(\mathbf{K^n})$, $f_j \in \Gamma$. We supply Y with a topology $\tau(Y)$ which is generated by a base $(C_{f_1,...,f_n;E} : f_j \in \Gamma$, E is open in $K^n)$.

3.3. Theorem. Non-Archimedean analog of the Bochner-Kolmogorov theorem. *Let X be a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$, X^a be its algebraically adjoint*

$\mathbf{K}$*-linear space (that is, of all linear mappings* $f : X \to \mathbf{K}$ *not necessarily continuous). A mapping* $\theta : X^a \to \mathbf{C}$ *is a characteristic functional of a probability measure* μ *with values in* $\mathbf{R}$ *[or* $\mathbf{K_s}$*] and is defined on* $\hat{\mathsf{C}}(X^a, X)$ *[or* $C(X^a, X)$*] if and only if* θ *satisfies conditions 2.6(3,5) for* $(X^a, \tau(X^a))$ *and is pseudocontinuous on* X^a *[or* θ *satisfies 2.6(3,6) for* $(X^a, \tau(X^a)$ *and is pseudocontinuous on* X^a *respectively].*

Proof. (I). For $dim_{\mathbf{K}} X = card(\alpha) < \aleph_0$ a space X^a is isomorphic with K^α, hence the statement of theorem for a measure μ with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ follows from Theorem 9.20[21] and Theorems 2.9 and 2.18 above, since $\theta(0) = 1$ and $|\theta(z)| \le 1$ for each z.

(II). We consider now the case of μ with values in $\mathbf{R}$ and $\alpha < \omega_0$. In §2.6 (see also §2.16-18,24) it was proved that $\theta = \hat{\mu}$ has the desired properties for real probability measures μ. On the other hand, there is θ which satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Let $\theta_\xi(y) = \theta(y)h_\xi(y)$, where $h_\xi(y) = F[C(\xi)exp(-\|x\xi\|^2)](y)$ (that is, the Fourier transform by x), $\nu_\xi(\mathbf{K}^\alpha) = 1$, $\nu_\xi(dx) = C(\xi)exp(-\|x\xi\|^2)m(dx)$ (see Lemma 2.8), $\xi \ne 0$. Then $\theta_\xi(y)$ is positive definite and is uniformly continuous as a product of two such functions. Moreover, $\theta_\xi(y) \in L^1(\mathbf{K}^\alpha, m, \mathbf{C})$. For $\xi \ne 0$ a function $f_\xi(x) = \int_{\mathbf{K}^\alpha} \theta_\xi(y)\chi_e(x(y))m(dy)$ is bounded and continuous, a function $exp(-\|x\xi\|^2) =: s(x)$ is positive definite. Since ν_ξ is symmetric and weakly converges to δ_0, hence there exists $r > 0$ such that for each $|\xi| > r$ we have $\hat{\gamma}_\xi(y) = \int_{\mathbf{K}^\alpha} C(\xi)exp(-\| \ x\xi\|_p^2)exp(2\pi i\eta y(x)))m(dx)$ $= \int cos(2\pi\eta(y(x)))exp(-\|x\eta\|_p^2)C(\ \xi)m(dx)/2 > 1 - 1/R$ for $|y| \le R$, consequently, $\hat{\gamma}_\xi(y) = \hat{\zeta}_\xi^2(y)$ for $|y| \le R$, where $\hat{\zeta}_\xi$ is positive definite uniformly continuous and has a uniformly continuous extension on $\mathbf{K}^\alpha$. Therefore, for each $c > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $\|\nu_\xi - \kappa_\xi * \kappa_\xi\| < c$ for each $|\xi| > r$, where $\kappa_\xi(dx) = \zeta_\xi(x)m(dx)$ is a σ-additive non-negative measure. Hence due to corollary from Proposition IV.1.3[26] there exists $r > 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbf{K}^\alpha} \theta_\xi(y)\chi_e(-x(y))\nu_j(dy) \ge 0$ for each $|j| > r$, consequently, $f_\xi(x) = \lim_{|j|\to\infty} \int_{\mathbf{K}^\alpha} \theta_\xi(y) \ \chi_e(-x(y))\nu_j(dy) \ge 0$. From the equality $F[F(\gamma_\xi)(-y)](x) = \gamma_\xi(x)$ and the Fubini theorem it follows that $\int f_\xi\chi_e(y(x))h_j(x)m(dx)$ $= \int \theta_\xi(u + y)\nu_j(du)$. For $y = 0$ we get $\lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \int f_\xi(x)m(dx) = \int f(x)m(dx)$ $= \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \lim_{|j|\to\infty} \int f_\xi(x)h_j(x)m(dx)$ and $\lim_{|\xi|} \lim_{|j|} | \int_{\mathbf{K}^\alpha} \theta_\xi(u)\nu_j(du)| \le 1$.

From Lemma 2.8 it follows that $\hat{f}(y) = \theta(y)$, since by Theorem 2.18 $\theta = \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \theta_\xi$ is a characteristic function of a probability measure on $Bf(\mathbf{K}^\alpha)$, where $f(x) = \int_{\mathbf{K}^\alpha} \theta(y)\chi_e(-x(y))m(dy)$.

(III). Now let $\alpha = \omega_0$. It remains to show that the conditions imposed on θ are sufficient, because their necessity follows from the modification of 2.6 (since X has an algebraic embedding into X^a). The space X^a is isomorphic with $\mathbf{K}^\Lambda$ which is the space of all $\mathbf{K}$-valued functions defined on the Hamel basis Λ in X. The Hamel basis exists due to the Kuratowski-Zorn lemma (that is, each finite system of vectors in Λ is linearly independent over $\mathbf{K}$, each vector in X is a finite linear combination over $\mathbf{K}$ of elements from Λ). Let J be a family of all non-void subsets in Λ. For each $A \in J$ there exists a functional $\theta_A : \mathbf{K}^A \to \mathbf{C}$ such that $\theta_A(t) = \theta(\sum_{y\in A} t(y)y)$ for $t \in \mathbf{K}^A$. From the conditions imposed on θ it follows that $\theta_A(0) = 1$, θ_A is uniformly continuous and bounded on $\mathbf{K}^A$, moreover, it is positive definite (or due to 2.6(6) for each $c > 0$ there are n and $q > 0$ such that for each $j > n$ and $z \in \mathbf{K}^A$ the following inequality is satisfied:

$$(7)\ |\theta_A(z) - \theta_j(z)| \le cbq,$$

moreover, $L(j) \supset \mathbf{K}^A$, q is independent on j, c and b. From (I,II) it follows that on $Bf(\mathbf{K}^A)$ there exists a probability measure μ_A such that $\hat{\mu}_A = \theta_A$. The family of measures $\{\mu_A : A \in J\}$ is consistent and bounded, since $\mu_A = \mu_E \circ (P_E^A)^{-1}$, if $A \subset E$, where $P_E^A : \mathbf{K}^E \to \mathbf{K}^A$ are the natural projectors. Indeed, in the case of measures with values in $\mathbf{R}$ each μ_A is the probability measure. For measures with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ this is accomplished due to conditions (7), 2.6(6) for X^a and due to Theorem 9.20[21].

In view of Theorem 1.1.4[4] (or Theorem 3.1 above) on a cylinder σ-algebra of the space $\mathbf{K}^\Lambda$ there exists the unique measure μ such that $\mu_A = \mu \circ (P^A)^{-1}$ for each $A \in J$, where $P^A : \mathbf{K}^\Lambda \to \mathbf{K}^A$ are the natural projectors. From $X^a = \mathbf{K}^\Lambda$ it follows that μ is defined on $\hat{\mathsf{C}}(X^a, X)$ (or on $C(X^a, X)$ for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures). For μ on $\hat{C}(X^a, X)$ or $C(X^a, X)$ there exists its extension on $Af(X, \mu)$ such that $Af(X, \mu) \supset Bco(X)$ (see §2.1).

3.4. Definition. **[23]** A continuous linear operator $T : X \to Y$ for Banach spaces X and Y over $\mathbf{K}$ is called compact, if $T(B(X, 0, 1)) =: S$

is a compactoid, that is, for each neighbourhood $U \ni 0$ in Y there exists a finite subset $A \subset Y$ such that $S \subset U + co(A)$, where $co(A)$ is the least $\mathbf{K}$-absolutely convex subset in V containing A (that is, for each a and $b \in \mathbf{K}$ with $|a| \le 1$, $|b| \le 1$ and for each $x, y \in V$ the following inclusion $ax+by \in V$ is accomplished).

3.5. Let B_+ be a subset of non-negative functions which are $Bf(X)$-measurable and let C_+ be its subset of non-negative cylinder functions. By $\hat{\mathsf{B}}_+$ we denote a family of functions $f \in \mathsf{B}_+$ such that $f(x) = \lim_n g_n(x)$, $g_n \in \mathsf{C}_+$, $g_n \ge f$. For $f \in \hat{\mathsf{B}}_+$ let $\int_X f(x)\mu_*(dx) = \inf_{g\ge f,\ g\in \mathsf{C}_+} \int_X g(x)\mu_*(dx)$.

For $f \in L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K_s})$ and $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measure μ let $\int_X f(x)\mu_*(dx) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_X g_n(x)\mu_*(dx)$ for norm-bounded sequence of cylinder functions g_n from $L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K_s})$ converging to f uniformly on compact subsets of X. Due to the Lebesgue converging theorem this limit exists and does not depend on a choice of $\{g_n : n\}$.

3.6. Lemma. *A sequence of a weak distributions* $(\mu_{L(n)})$ *of probability Radon measures is generated by a real probability neasure* μ *on* $Bf(X)$ *of a Banach space* X *over* $\mathbf{K}$ *if and only if there exists*

$$(8)\quad \lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} \int_X G_\xi(x)\mu_*(dx) = 1,$$

where $\int_X G_\xi(x)\mu_*(dx) := S_\xi(\{\mu_{L(n)} : n\})$ *and*
$S_\xi(\{\mu_{L(n)}\}) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{L(n)} F_n(\gamma_{\xi,n})(x)\ \mu_{L(n)}(dx)$, $\gamma_{\xi,n}(y) := \prod_{l=1}^{m(n)} \gamma_\xi(y_l)$, F_n *is a Fourier transformation by* $(y_1, ..., y_n)$, $y = (y_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$, $y_j \in \mathbf{K}$, $\gamma_\xi(y_l)$ *are the same as in Lemma 2.8 for* $\mathbf{K}^1$*; here* $m(n) = dim_{\mathbf{K}} L(n) < \aleph_0$, $cl(\bigcup_n L(n) = X = c_0(\omega_0, K)$.

Proof. If a sequence of weak ditributions is generated by a measure μ, then in view of 2.6(3-6), Lemmas 2.3, 2.5, 2.8, Propositions 2.10 and 2.16, Corollary 2.13, the Lebesgue convergence theorem and the Fubini theorem, also from the proof of Theorem 3.3 and the Radon property of μ it follows that there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\int_X G_\xi(x)\mu_*(dx) = \int_X G_\xi(x)\mu(dx) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{L(n)} \gamma_{\xi,n}(y)\hat{\mu}_{L(n)}(y)m_{L(n)}(dy),$$

since $\lim_{j\to\infty} x_j = 0$ for each $x = (x_j : j) \in X$. In addition, $\lim_{|\xi|\to\infty} S_\xi(\{\mu_{L(n)}\}) = \int_X \mu(dx) = 1$. Indeed, for each $c > 0$ and $d > 0$ there exists a compact

$V_c \subset X$ with $\|\mu|_{(X \setminus V_c)}\| < c$ and there exists n_0 with $V_c \subset L(n)^d$ for each $n > n_0$. Therefore, choosing suitable sequences of $c(n)$, $d(n)$, $V_{c(n)}$ and $L(j_n)$ we get that $[\int_{L(n)} \gamma_{\xi,n}(y)\hat{\mu}_{L(n)}(y)m_{L(n)}(dy) : n \in \mathbf{N}]$ is a Cauchy sequence, where $m_{L(n)}$ is the real Haar measure on $L(n)$, the latter is considered as $\mathbf{Q_p^{m(n)b}}$, $b = dim_{\mathbf{Q_p}}\mathbf{K}$, $m(B(L(n), 0, 1) = 1$. Here we use $G_\xi(x)$ for a formal expression of the limit S_ξ as the integral. Then $G_\xi(x)$ $(mod\ \mu)$ is defined evidently as a function for μ or $\{\mu_{L(n)} : n\}$ with a compact support, also for μ with a support in a finite-dimensional subspace L over $\mathbf{K}$ in X. By the definition $supp(\mu_{L(n)} : n)$ is compact, if there is a compact $V \subset X$ with $supp(\mu_{L(n)}) \subset P_{L(n)}V$ for each n. That is, condition (8) is necessary.

On the other hand, if (8) is satisfied, then for each $c > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $|\int_X G_\xi(x)\mu_*(dx) - 1| < c/2$ for real-valued measures or $|\|G_\xi(x)\|_{\mu_*} - 1| < c/2$ for $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued-measures, when $|\xi| > r$, consequently, there exists n_0 such that for each $n > n_0$ the following inequality is satisfied:

$$|1 - \int_X F_n(\gamma_{\xi,n})(x)\mu_*(dx)| \le |\|\mu|_{(L(n)\cap B(X,0,R))}\| - 1| +$$

$$\sup_{|x|>R} |F_n(\gamma_{\xi,n})(x)| \|\mu_{L(n)}|_{(L(n)\setminus B(X,0,R))}\|.$$

Therefore, from $\lim_{R\to\infty} \sup_{|x|>R} |F_n(\gamma_{\xi,n})(x)| = 0$ and from Lemma 2.3 the statement of Lemma 3.6 follows.

3.7. Notes and definitions. Suppose X is a locally convex space over a locally compact field $\mathbf{K}$ with non-trivial non-Archimedean valuation and X^* is a topologically adjoint space. The minimum σ-algebra with respect to which the following family $\{v^* : v^* \in X^*\}$ is measurable is called a σ-algebra of cylinder sets. For a $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measure μ on X a completion of a linear space of characteristic functions $\{ch_U : U \in Bco(X)\}$ in $L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K_s})$ is denoted by $B_\mu(X)$. Then X is called a RS-space (or KS-space) if on X^* there exists a topology τ such that the continuity of each positive definite function $f : X^* \to \mathbf{C}$ (or $f : X^* \to \mathbf{C_s}$ with $\|f\|_{C^0} < \infty$ respectively) is necessary and sufficient for f to be a characteristic functional of a non-negative measure (a tight measure of finite norm correspondingly). Such topology is called the R-Sazonov (or K-Sazonov) type topology. The class of RS-spaces (and KS-spaces) contains all separable locally convex spaces over $\mathbf{K}$. For example, $l^\infty(\alpha, \mathbf{K}) = c_0(\alpha, \mathbf{K})^*$, where α is an ordinal [21]. In particular we also write $c_0(\mathbf{K}) := c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$ and $l^\infty(\mathbf{K}) := l^\infty(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$, where ω_0 is the first countable ordinal.

Let $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$ denotes the weakest topology on l^∞ for which all functionals $p_x(y) := \sup_n |x_n y_n|$ are continuous, where $x = \sum_n x_n e_n \in c_0$ and $y = \sum_n y_n e_n^* \in l^\infty$, e_n is the standard base in c_0. Such topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$ is called the normal topology. The induced topology on c_0 is denoted by $n_{\mathbf{K}}(c_0, c_0)$.

3.8. Theorem. *Let $f : l^\infty(\mathbf{K}) \to \mathbf{C}$ (or $f : l^\infty(\mathbf{K}) \to \mathbf{C_s}$) be a functional such that*

(i) f is positive definite (or $f(0) = 1$ and $\|f\|_{C^0} \le 1$),

(ii) f is continuous in the normal topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$, then f is the characteristic functional of a probability measure on $c_0(\mathbf{K})$.

Proof. If ν is the Haar measure on $\mathbf{K^n}$, then on $Bco(\mathbf{K^n})$ it takes values in $\mathbf{Q}$. Therefore, Lemma 4.1 [18] is transferable onto the case of $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures, since $\mathbf{Q} \subset \mathbf{K_s}$. Therefore, analogously to Equation (4.1) of Lemma 4.2 [18] we have

$$(i)\ P\{|V_1|_{\mathbf{K}} < \epsilon, ..., |V_n|_{\mathbf{K}} < \epsilon\} = \nu^{-1}(B(\mathbf{K^n}, 0, p^{-m})) \int_{\mathbf{K^n}} f_V(y) ch_{B(\mathbf{K^n}, 0, p^{-m})}(y) \nu(dy)$$

for measurable maps $V_j : (\Omega, \mathsf{B}, P) \to (\mathbf{K}, Bco(\mathbf{K}))$, where (Ω, B, P) is a probability space for a probability measure P with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ on an algebra B of subsets of a set Ω, f_W is a characteristic function of $W = (V_1, ..., V_n)$. To continue the proof we need the following statements.

3.9. Lemma *Let $f : c_0(\mathbf{K}) \to \mathbf{C_s}$ be a function satisfying the following two conditions:*

(i) $|f(x)| \le 1$ for each $x \in c_0(\mathbf{K})$,

(ii) f is continuous at zero in the topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(c_0, c_0)$,

then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\lambda(\epsilon) \in c_0(\mathbf{K})$ such that $|1 - f(x)| < p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x) + \epsilon$ for each $x \in c_0(\mathbf{K})$.

Proof. In view of continuity for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $y(\epsilon) \in c_0$ such that $|1 - f(x)| < \epsilon$ if $p_{y(\epsilon)} < 1$. Put $\lambda(\epsilon) = \pi_{\mathbf{K}}^{-1} y(\epsilon)$, where $\pi_{\mathbf{K}} \in \mathbf{K}$ is such that $|\pi_{\mathbf{K}}| = p^{-1}$. If $x \in c_0$ is such that $p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x) < p^{-1}$, then $|1 - f(x)| < \epsilon \le \epsilon + p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x)$. If $p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x) \ge p$, then $|1 - f(x)| \le 2 \le p < p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(x) + \epsilon$.

3.10. Lemma. *Let* $\{V_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ *be a sequence of* $\mathbf{K}$*-valued random variables for* P *with values in* $\mathbf{K_s}$*. If for each* $\beta > 0$ *and* $\epsilon > 0$ *there exists* $N_\epsilon \in \mathbf{N}$ *such that*

$$(i)\ \|P|_{\{\sup_{n\ge N_\epsilon}|V_n|_{\mathbf{K}}\le\beta\}}\| \ge 1-\epsilon(1+\beta^{-1}),$$

then $\lim_n V_n = 0$ P*-a.e. on* Ω*.*

Proof is quite analogous to that of Lemma 4.4 [18] with substitution of P on $\|P\|$.

3.11. Proposition. *Let* $f : c_0(\mathbf{K}) \to \mathbf{C_s}$ *be a function such that*

(i) $f(0) = 1$ *and* $|f(x)| \le 1$ *for each* $x \in c_0$*,*

(ii) $f(x)$ *is continuous in the normal topology* $n_{\mathbf{K}}(c_0, c_0)$*. Then there exists a probability measure* μ *on* $c_0(\mathbf{K})$ *such that* $f(x) = \hat{\mu}(x)$ *for each* $x \in c_0$*.*

Proof. Consider functions $f_n(x_1, ..., x_n) := f(x_1e_1 + ... + x_ne_n)$, where $x = \sum_j x_je_j \in c_0$. From Condition *(ii)* and Proposition 3.1(2) [18] it follows, that $f(x)$ is continuous in the norm topology. From Chapters 7,9 [21] it follows, that there exists a consistent family of tight measures μ_n on $\mathbf{K^n}$ such that $\hat{\mu}_n(x) = f_n(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbf{K^n}$. In view of Theorem 3.1 there exists a probability space (Ω, B, P) with a $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measure P and a sequence of random variables $\{V_n\}$ such that $\mu_n(A) = P\{\omega \in \Omega : (V_1(\omega), ..., V_n(\omega)) \in A\}$ for each clopen subset A in $\mathbf{K^n}$, consequently, $\lim_n V_n = 0$ P-a.e. in Ω. In view of the preceding lemmas we have the following inequality:

$$|1-\|P|_{(|V_n|<\beta,...,|V_{n+m}|<\beta)}\| \le \|p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(y_1e_n + ... + y_me_{n+m}\|_{L(B(\mathbf{K^n},0,\beta^{-1}),\nu,\mathbf{K_s})}.$$

Since $\lim_k p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(e_k) = 0$, then there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\sup_{k\ge N} p_{\lambda(\epsilon)}(e_k) \le \epsilon$, consequently, $\|P|_{\{|V_N|<\beta,...,|V_{N+m}||<\beta\}}\| \ge 1-\epsilon(1+\beta^{-1})$. Due to Lemma 4.10 $\|P|_{\{\lim_n V_n=0\}}\| = 1$. Define a measurable mapping W from Ω into c_0 by the following formula: $W(\omega) := \sum_n V_n(\omega)e_n$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$, then we also define a measure $\mu(A) := P\{W^{-1}(B)\}$ for each $A \in Bco(X)$, hence μ is a probability measure on c_0. In view of the Lebesgue convergence theorem (see Chapter 7 [21]) there exists $\hat{\mu}(x) = \lim_n \hat{\mu}_n(x_1e_1 + ... + x_ne_n) = f(x)$ for each $x \in c_0$.

Continuation of the proof of Theorem 3.8. Let $f : l^\infty(\mathbf{K}) \to \mathbf{C_s}$ satisfies assumption of Theorem 3.8, then by Proposition 3.11 there exists a probability measure μ on $c_0(\mathbf{K})$ such that $f(x) = \hat{\mu}(x)$ for each $x \in c_0(\mathbf{K})$.

The case of the topological vector space X over $\mathbf{K}$ with $char(\mathbf{K}) > 0$ and a real-valued measure μ can be proved analogously to [18] due to §2.6 and §§3.1-3.11.

3.12. Theorem. *Let μ be a probability measure on $c_0(\mathbf{K})$, then $\hat{\mu}$ is continuous in the normal topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$ on l^∞.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 3.1 for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $S(\epsilon) \in c_0$ such that $\|\mu|_{L(0,S(\epsilon))}\| \ge 1 - \epsilon$, where $L(y, z) := \{x \in c_0 : |x_n - y_n| \le |z_n|$, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Therefore,

$$|1 - \hat{\mu}(x)| \le \epsilon + \|2\pi\eta(\xi x)\|_{C^0(L(0,S(\epsilon)))}\|\mu|_{L(0,S(\epsilon))}\|,$$

hence there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|1 - \hat{\mu}| \le \epsilon + Cp_{S(\epsilon)}(x)$.

3.13. Corollary. *The normal topology $n_{\mathbf{K}}(l^\infty, c_0)$ is the R-Sazonov (and K-Sazonov) type topology on $l^\infty(\mathbf{K})$.*

3.14. Theorem. Non-Archimedean analog of the Minlos-Sazonov theorem. *For a separable Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(I) $\theta : X \to \mathbf{T_s}$ satisfies either conditions 2.6(3, 4, 5) or 2.6(3, 6) and

for each $c > 0$ there exists a compact operator $S_c : X \to X$ such that either $|Re(\theta(y) - \theta(x))| < c$ or $|\theta(y) - \theta(x)| < c$ respectively for $|\tilde{z}(S_c z)| < 1$;

(II) θ is a characteristic functional of a probability Radon measure μ

on E, where $\tilde{z}$ is an element $z \in X \hookrightarrow X^*$ considered as an element of X^* under the natural embedding associated with the standard base of $c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$, $z = x - y$, x and y are arbitrary elements of X.

Proof. $(II \to I)$. For a positive definite function θ generated by a probability measure μ in view of the inequality $|\theta(y) - \theta(x)|^2 \le 2\theta(0)(\theta(0) - Re(\theta(y - x))$ (see Propositions IV.1.1(c)[26]) and using the normalization of a measure μ by 1 we consider the case $y = 0$. For each $r > 0$ we have: $|Re(\theta(0) - \theta(x))| = \int_X (1 - cos(2\pi\eta(x(u))))\mu(du) \le \int_{B(X,0,r)} 2sin^2(\pi\eta(x(u)))\mu(du)$ $+2\int_{X\setminus B(X,0,r)} \mu(du) \le 2\pi^2 \int_{B(X,0,r)} \eta(x(u))^2\mu(dx)$ $+2\mu([x : \|x\| > r])$. For θ generated by a $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measure for each $r > 0$ we have $|\theta(0) - \theta(x)| =$

$|\int_X(1-exp[2\pi i\eta(x(u))])\mu(du)| \le \|(1-exp[2\pi i\eta(x(u))])|_{B(X,0,r)}\|_\mu+2\|\mu|_{(X\setminus B(X,0,r))}\|$. In view of the Radon property of the space X and Lemma 2.5 for each $b>0$ and $\delta>0$ there are a finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{K}$ subspace L in X and a compact subset $W\subset X$ such that $W\subset L^\delta$, $\|\mu|_{(X\setminus W)}\|<b$, hence $\|\mu|_{(X\setminus L^\delta)}\|<b$.

We consider the following expression:

$$J(j,l):=2\pi^2\int_{B(X,0,r)}\eta(e_j(u))\eta(e_l(u))\mu(du),$$

where (e_j) is the orthonormal basis in X which contains the orthonormal basis of $L=\mathbf{K^n}$, $n=dim_{\mathbf{K}}L$. Then we choose sequences $b_j=p^{-j}$ and $0<\delta_j<b_j$, subspaces L_j and $r=r_j$ such that $b_jr_j<1$, $W_j\subset B(X,0,r_j)$, $0<r_j<r_{j+1}<\infty$ for each $j\in\mathbf{N}$ and the orthonormal basis (e_j) corresponding to the sequence $L_j\subset L_{j+1}\subset ...\subset X$. We get, due to finiteness of $n_j:=dim_{\mathbf{K}}L_j$, that $\lim_{j+l\to\infty}J(j,l)=0$, since $\|\mu|_{\{x:\|x\|>r_j\}}\|<b_j$, $\eta(x(u))=0$ for $x\in X\ominus L_j$ with $\|x\|<b_j$, $u\in B(X,0,r_j)$. Then we define $g_{j,l}:=\min\{d: d\in\Gamma_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $d\ge|J(j,l)|\}$, evidently, $g_{j,l}\le p|J(j,l)|$ and there are $\xi_{j,l}\in\mathbf{K}$ with $|\xi_{j,l}|_K=g_{j,l}$. Consequently, the family $(\xi_{j,l})$ determines a compact operator $S:X\to X$ with $\tilde{e}_j(Se_l)=\xi_{j,l}t$ due to Theorem 1.2[23], where $t=const\in\mathbf{K}$, $t\ne 0$. Therefore, $|Re(\theta(0)-\theta(z))|<c/2+|\tilde{z}(Sz)|<c$ for the real-valued measure μ and $|\theta(0)-\theta(z)|<c/2+|\tilde{z}(Sz)|<c$ for the $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measure, if $|\tilde{z}(Sz)|<|t|c/2$. We choose r such that $\|\mu|_{(X\setminus B(X,0,r))}\|<c/2$ with S corresponding to $(r_j:j)$, where $r_1=r$, $L_1=L$, then we take $t\in\mathbf{K}$ with $|t|c=2$.

$(I\to II)$. Without restriction of generality we may take $\theta(0)=1$ after renormalization of non-trivial θ. In view of Theorem 3.8 as in §2.6 we construct using $\theta(z)$ a consistent family of finite-dimensional distributions $\{\mu_{L(n)}\}$ all with values either in $\mathbf{R}$ or $\mathbf{K_s}$ respectively. Let $m_{L(n)}$ be a real Haar measure on $L(n)$ which is considered as $\mathbf{Q_p^a}$ with $a=dim_{\mathbf{K}}L(n)dim_{\mathbf{Q_p}}\mathbf{K}$, $m(B(L(n),0,1))=1$. In view of Proposition 2.7 and Lemmas 2.8, 3.6: $\int_{L(n)}G_\xi(x)\mu_{L(n)}(dx)=\int_{L(n)}\gamma_{\xi,n}(z)\theta(z)m_{L(n)}(dz)$, consequently,

$$1-\int_{L(n)}F_n(\gamma_{\xi,n})(x)\mu_{L(n)}(dx)=\int\gamma_{\xi,n}(z)(1-\theta(z))m_{L(n)}(dz)=:I_n(\xi).$$

There exists an orthonormal basis in X in which S_c can be reduced to the following form $S_c=SC\hat{S}_cE$ (see appendix), where $\hat{S}_c=diag(s_j:j\in\mathbf{N})$

in the orthonormal basis $(f_j : j)$ in X and S transposes a finite number of vectors in the orthonormal basis. That is, $|\tilde{z}(\hat{S}_c z)| = \max_j |s_j| \times |z_j|^2$. In the orthonormal basis $(e_j : j)$ adopted to $(L(n) : n)$ we have $|\tilde{z}(S_c z)| = \max_{j,l \in \mathbf{N}}(|s_{j,l}| \times |z_j| \times |z_l|)$, $\|S_c\| = \max_{j,l} |s_{j,l}|$, where $S_c = (s_{j,l} : j, l \in \mathbf{N})$ in the orthonormal basis (e_j), $r = const > 0$. In addition, $p^{-1}|x|_K \le |x|_p \le p|x|_{\mathbf{K}}$ for each $x \in \mathbf{K}$. If S_c is a compact operator such that $|Re(\theta(y) - \theta(x))| < c$ (or $|\theta(y) - \theta(x)| < c$) for $|\tilde{z}(S_c z)| < 1$, $z = x - y$, then either $|Re(1 - \theta(x))| < c + 2|\tilde{x}(S_c x)|$ and

$$I_n(\xi) \le \int \gamma_{\xi,n}(z)[c + 2|\tilde{z}(S_c z)|_K] m_{L(n)}(dz) \le c + b\|S_c\|/|\xi|^2,$$

$b = const$ is independent on n, ξ and S_c,

$$b := p \times \sup_{|\xi|>r} |\xi|^2 \int_{L(n)} \gamma_{\xi,n}(z)|z|_p^2 m_{L(n)}(dz) < \infty$$

for the real-valued measures, or
$|1 - \theta(x)|| < \max(C, 2|\tilde{x}(S_c x)|)$ and $\|\gamma_{\xi,n}(z)(1 - \theta(z))\|_{m_{L(n)}} \le$
$\max(\|\gamma_{\xi,n}(z)\|_{m_{L(n)}} C, 2|(\gamma_{\xi,n}(z))\tilde{z}(S_c z)|_{m_{L(n)}}) \le \max(C, b\|S_c\|/|\xi|^2)$,
where $b := p \times \sup_{|\xi|>r}(|\xi|^2 \|\gamma_{\xi,n}(z) z^2\|_{m_{L(n)}}) < \infty$ for the $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures. Due to the formula of changing variables in integrals (A.7[22]) the following equality is valid: $J_n(\xi) = I_n(\xi) J_n(1)/[I_n(1)|\xi|^2]$ for $|\xi| \ne 0$, where

$$J_n(\xi) = \int_{L(n)} \gamma_{\xi,n}(z)|z|_p^2 m_{L(n)}(dz).$$

Therefore,

$$1 - \int_X G_\xi(x)\mu_*(dx) \le c + b\|S_c\|/|\xi|^2$$

for the real-valued measures and

$$|1 - \|G_\xi(x)\|_{\mu_*}| \le \max(C, b\|S_c\|/|\xi|^2)$$

for the $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued measures. Then taking the limit with $|\xi| \to \infty$ and then with $c \to +0$ with the help of Lemma 3.6 we get the statement $(I \to II)$.

4 The non-Archimedean analog of the Kakutani theorem.

4.1. Definition. Let on a completely regular space X with $ind(X)=0$ two non-zero real-valued (or $\mathbf{K_s}$-valued) measures μ and ν are given. Then ν is called absolutely continuous relative to μ if $\nu(A)=0$ for each $A\in Bf(X)$ with $\mu(A)=0$ (or there exists f such that $\nu(A)=\int_A f(x)\mu(dx)$ for each $A\in Bco(X)$, where $f\in L(X,\mu,\mathbf{K_s})$ respectively) and it is denoted $\nu\ll\mu$. Measures ν and μ are singular to each other if there is $F\in Bf(X)$ (or $F\in E$) with $|\mu|(X\setminus F)=0$ and $|\nu|(F)=0$ (or $\|X\setminus F\|_\mu=0$ and $\|F\|_\nu=0$ respectively) and it is denoted $\nu\perp\mu$. If $\nu\ll\mu$ and $\mu\ll\nu$ then they are called equivalent, $\nu\sim\mu$.

4.2. Theorem. *(A). Measures $\mu^j: Bf(X)\to\mathbf{R}$ (or $\mu^j: E\to\mathbf{K_s}$), $j=1,2$, for a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ are orthogonal $\mu^1\perp\mu^2$ if and only if $\rho(x)=0$ (mod μ^1) (or $N_{\mu^1}(x)N_{\mu^2}(x)=0$ for each $x\in X$ respectively).*

(B). If for measures $\mu^j: E\to\mathbf{K_s}$ on a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ is satisfied $\rho(x)=0$ for each x with $N_{\mu^1}(x)>0$, then $\mu^1\perp\mu^2$; the same is true for a completely regular space X with $ind(X)=0$ and $\rho(x)=\mu^2(dx)/\mu^1(dx)=0$ for each x with $N_{\mu^1}(x)>0$.

Proof. (A). In the case of real-valued μ^j the proof differs only slightly from the proof of Theorem 2 §15[25]. For μ^j with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ from Definition 4.1 it follows that there exists $F\in E$ with $\|X\setminus F\|_{\mu^1}=0$ and $\|F\|_{\mu^2}=0$. In view of Theorems 7.6 and 7.20[25] the characteristic function ch_F of the set F belongs to $L(\mu^1)\cap L(\mu^2)$ such that $N_{\mu^j}(x)$ are semi-continuous from above, $\|ch_F\|_{N_{\mu^2}}=0$, $\|ch_{X\setminus F}\|_{N_{\mu^1}}=0$, consequently, $N_{\mu^1}(x)N_{\mu^2(x)}=0$ for each $x\in X$.

On the other hand, if $N_{\mu^1}(x)N_{\mu^2}(x)=0$ for each x, then for $F:=[x\in X: N_{\mu^2}(x)=0]$ due to Theorem 7.2 [21] $\|F\|_{\mu^2}=\|ch_F\|_{N_{\mu^2}}=0$. Moreover, in view of Theorem 7.6[21] $F=\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty U_{s^{-n}}$, where $U_c:=[x\in X: N_{\mu^2}(x)<c]$ are open in X, hence $ch_F\in L(\mu^1)\cap L(\mu^2)$ and $N_{\mu^1}|_{(X\setminus F)}=0$, consequently, $\|X\setminus F\|_{\mu^1}=0$.

(B). In view of Theorem 3.1(B) for each $A\in P_{L(n)}^{-1}[E(L(n))]$ and $m>n$: $\int_A\rho_m(x)\mu^1(dx)=\mu^2(A)$, then from $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|\rho(x)-\rho_n(P_{L(n)}x)\|_{N_{\mu^1}}=0$ and

Conditions 2.1.(i-iii) on μ^2 Statement (B) follows.

4.3. Note. For real-valued measures μ^j on $Bf(X)$ for a Banach space X over $\mathbf{K}$ (instead of a Hilbert space) using the above given statements Theorems 3-6 and corollary in §15[25] may be reformulated and proved. The Radon-Nikodym theorem is not valid for μ^j with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$, so not all theorems for real-valued measures may be transferred onto this case. Therefore, the definition of absolute continuity of measures was changed (see §4.1).

4.4. Theorem. *Let measures μ^j and ν^j be with values in $\mathbf{K_s}$ on $Bf(X_j)$ for a Banach space X_j over $\mathbf{K}$ and $\mu = \mu^1 \otimes \mu^2$, $\nu = \nu^1 \otimes \nu^2$ on $X = X_1 \otimes X_2$, therefore, the statement $\nu \ll \mu$ is equivalent to $\nu^1 \ll \mu^1$ and $\nu^2 \ll \mu^2$, moreover, $\nu(dx)/\mu(dx) = (\nu^1(P_1dx)/\mu^1(P_1dx))(\nu^2(P_2dx)/\mu^2(P_2dx))$, where $P_j : X \to X_j$ are projectors.*

Proof follows from Theorem 7.15[21] and modification of the proof of Theorem 5 §15[25].

4.5. Theorem. The non-Archimedean analog of the Kakutani theorem. *Let $X = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} X_j$ be a product of completely regular spaces with $ind(X_j) = 0$ and probability measures μ^j, $\nu^j : E(X_j) \to \mathbf{K_s}$, also let $\mu_j \ll \nu_j$ for each j, $\nu = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu_j$, $\mu = \bigotimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j$ are measures on $E(X)$, $\rho_j(x) = \mu_j(dx)/\nu_j(dx)$ are continuous by $x \in X_j$, $\prod_{j=1}^{n} \rho_j(x_j) =: t_n(x)$ converges uniformly on $Af(X, \mu)$-compact subsets in X, $\beta_j := \|\rho_j(x)\|_{\phi_j}$, $\phi_j(x) := N_{\nu^j}(x)$ on X_j. If $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j$ converges in $(0, \infty)$ (or diverges to 0), then $\mu \ll \nu$ and $q_n(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \rho_j(x_j)$ converges in $L(X, \nu, \mathbf{K_s})$ to $q(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \rho_j(x_j) = \mu(dx)/\nu(dx)$ (or $\mu \perp \nu$ respectively), where $x_j \in X_j$, $x \in X$.*

Proof. The countable additivity of ν and μ follows from Theorem 3.1. Then $\beta_j = \|\rho_j\|_{\phi_j} \le \|\rho_j\|_{N_{\nu_j}} = \|X\|_{\mu_j} = 1$, since $N_{\nu_j} \le 1$ for each $x \in X_j$, hence $\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_j$ can not be divergent to ∞. If this product diverges to 0 then there exists a sequence $\epsilon_b := \prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} \beta_j$ for which the series converges $\sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_b < \infty$, where $n(b) \le m(b)$. For $A_b := [x : (\prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} \rho_j(x_j)) \ge 1]$ there are estimates $\|A_b\|_\nu \le \sup_{x \in A_b} [\prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} |\rho_j(x_j)| \phi_j(x_j)] \le \epsilon_b$, consequently, $\|A\|_\nu = 0$ for $A = \limsup(A_b : b \to \infty)$, since $0 < \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_b < \infty$.

For $B_b := X \setminus A_b$ we have: $\|B_b\|_\mu \le [\sup_{x \in B_b} \prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} |1/\rho_j(x_j)| \psi(x_j)] = [\prod_{j=n(b)}^{m(b)} \|\rho_j(x_j)\|_{\phi_j}] = \epsilon_b$, where $\psi_j(x) = N_{\mu_j}(x)$, since $\mu_j(dx_j) = \rho_j(x_j)\nu_j(dx_j)$ and $N_{\mu_j}(x) = |\rho_j(x_j)| N_{\nu_j}(x)$ due to continuity of $\rho_j(x_j)$ (for $\rho_j(x_j) = 0$ we set $|1/\rho_j(x_j)|\psi_j(x_j) = 0$, because $\psi_j(x_j) = 0$ for such x_j), consequently, $\| \limsup(B_b : b \to \infty)\|_\mu = 0$ and $\|A\|_\mu \ge \| \liminf(A_b : b \to \infty)\|_\mu = 1$. This means that $\mu \perp \nu$.

Suppose that $\prod_{j=1}^\infty \beta_j$ converges to $0 < \beta < \infty$, then $\beta \le 1$ (see above). Therefore from the Lebesgue Theorem 7.F[21] it follows that $t_n(x)$ converges in $L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K_s})$, since $|t_n(x)| \le 1$ for each x and n, at the same time each $t_n(x)$ converges uniformly on compact subsets in the topology generated by $Af(X, \mu)$. Then for each bounded continuous cylinder function $f : X \to \mathbf{K_s}$ we have

$$\int_X f(x)\mu(dx) = \int_X f(x_1, ..., x_n)t_n(x) \otimes_{j=1}^n \nu_j(dx_j) = \lim_{j\to\infty} \int_X f(x)t_n(x)\nu(dx) = \int_X \rho(x)\nu(dx).$$

Approximating arbitrary $h \in L(X, \mu, \mathbf{K_s})$ by such f we get the equality

$$\int_X h(x)\mu(dx) = \int_X h(x)\rho(x)\nu(dx),$$

consequently, $\rho(x) = \mu(dx)/\nu(dx)$.

5 Appendix.

Suppose $X = c_0(\omega_0, \mathbf{K})$ is a Banach space over $\mathbf{K}$ and I is a unit operator on X. If A is an operator on X, then in some basis of X we have an infinite matrix $(A_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbf{N}}$, so we can consider its transposed matrix A^t. If in some basis the following equality is satisfied $A^t = A$, then A is called symmetric.

A.1. Lemma. *Let $A : X \to X$ be a linear invertible operator with a compact operator $(A - I)$. Then there exist an orthonormal basis $(e_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$ in X, invertible linear operators $C, E, D : X \to X$ with compact opeartors $(C - I)$, $(E - I)$, $(D - I)$ such that $A = SCDE$, D is diagonal, C is lower triangular and E is upper triangular, S is an operator transposing a finite number of vectors from an orthonormal basis in X. Moreover, there exists $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and invertible linear operators $A', A" : X \to X$ with compact operators $(A' - I)$, $(A" - I)$ and $(A'_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j} = 0)$ for i or $j > n$, $A"$ is an isometry and there exist their determinants $det(A')det(A") = det(A)$, $|det(A")|_{\mathbf{K}} = 1$, $det(D) = det(A)$. If in addition A is symmetric, then $C^t = E$ and $S = I$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.2[23] for each $c > 0$ there exists the following decomposition $X = Y \oplus Z$ into $\mathbf{K}$-linear spaces such that $\|(A - I)|_Z\| < c$, where $dim_{\mathbf{K}} Y = m < \aleph_0$. In the orthonormal basis $(e_j : j)$ for which $sp_{\mathbf{K}}(e_1, ..., e_m) = Y$ for $c \le 1/p$ we get $A = A'A"$ with $(A - I)|_Z = 0$, $|A"_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}| \le c$ for each i, j such that $(A'_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}) = 0$ for i or $j > n$, where $n \ge m$ is chosen such that $|A_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}| \le c^2$ for $i > n$ and $j = 1, ..., m$, $A_{i,j} := e^*_i(Ae_j)$, e^*_i are vectors e_i considered as linear continuous functionals $e^*_i \in X^*$. Indeed, $(A_{i,j} : i \in \mathbf{N}) = Ae_j \in X$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} A_{i,j} = 0$ for each j. From the form of $A"$ it follows that $\|A"e_j - e_j\| \le 1/p$ for each j, consequently, $\|A"x\| = \|x\|$ for each $x \in X$. Since $A" = (A')^{-1}A$, $(A - I)$ and $(A' - I)$ being compact, hence $(A" - I)$ is compact together with $(A^{-1} - I)$, $((A')^{-1} - I)$ and $((A")^{-1} - I)$. Moreover, there exists $\lim_{k\to\infty} det(A)_k = det(A) = \lim_k det((A')_k (A")_k) = \lim_k det(A')_k det(A")_k = det(A')det(A")$, where $(A)_k := (A_{i,j} : i, j \le k)$. This follows from the decompositions $X = Y_k \oplus Z_k$ for $c = c(k) \to 0$ whilst $k \to \infty$. This means that for each $c(k) = p^{-k}$ there exists $n(k)$ such that $|A_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}| < c(k)$, $|A'_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}| < c(k)$ and $|A"_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}| < c(k)$ for each i or $j > n(k)$, consequently, $|A\binom{1...n(k)i_1...i_q}{1...n(k)j_1...j_q} - A\binom{1...n(k)}{1...n(k)}\delta_{i_1,j_1}...\delta_{i_q,j_q}| < c(k)$, where $A\binom{i_1...i_r}{j_1...j_r}$ is a minor corresponding to rows $i_1, ..., i_r$ and columns $j_1, ..., j_r$ for $r, q \in \mathbf{N}$. From the ultrametric inequality it follows that $|det(A") - 1| \le 1/p$, hence $|det(A")|_{\mathbf{K}} = 1$, $det(A")_k \ne 0$ for each k, $det(A')_k = det(A')_n$ for each $k \ge n$. Using the decomposition of $det(A')_n$ by the last row (analogously by the column) we get $A'_{n,j} \ne 0$ and a minor $A'\binom{1...n-1}{1...j-1,j+1,n} \ne 0$. Permuting the columns j and n (or rows) we get as a result a matrix $(\bar{A}')_n$ with $\bar{A}'\binom{1...n-1}{1...n-1} \ne 0$. Therefore, by the denumeration of the basic vectors we get $A'\binom{1...k}{1...k} \ne 0$ for each $k = 1, ..., n$, since $|det(\bar{A}')_n| = |det(A')_n|$.

Therefore, there exists the orthonormal basis $(e_j : j)$ such that $A\binom{1...j}{1...j} \ne 0$ for each j and $\lim_j A\binom{1...j}{1..j} = det(A) \ne 0$. Applying to $(A)_j$ the Gaussian decomposition and using compactness of $A - I$ due to formula (44) in §II.4[7], which is valid in the case of $\mathbf{K}$ also, we get $D = diag(D_j : j \in \mathbf{N})$, $D_j = A\binom{1...j}{1...j} / A\binom{1...j-1}{1...j-1}$; $C_{g,k} = A\binom{1,...,k-1,g}{1,...,k-1,k} / A\binom{1...k}{1...k}$; $E_{k,g} = A\binom{1,...,k-1,k}{1,...,k-1,g} / A\binom{1...k}{1...k}$ for $g = k + 1, k + 2, ...,$ $k \in \mathbf{N}$. Therefore, $(C - I)$, $(D - I)$, $(E - I)$ are the compact operators, $C_{i,j}$, D_j, $E_{i,j} \in \mathbf{K}$ for each i, j. Particularly, for $A^t = A$

(A^t denotes the transposed matrix for A) we get $E_{k,g} = C_{g,k}$.

References

[1] N. Bourbaki. "Integration". Chapters **1-9** (Nauka, Moscow, 1970 and 1977).

[2] J.P.R. Christensen. "Topology and Borel structure". (North-Holland Math. Studies N **10**. -Amst.: Elsevier, 1974).

[3] C. Constantinescu. "Spaces of measures". (Berlin: Spr.-Ver.,1984).

[4] Yu.L. Dalecky, S.V. Fomin. "Measures and differential equations in infinite-dimensional spaces" (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1991).

[5] R. Engelking. "General topology" (Mir, Moscow, 1986).

[6] H. Federer. "Geometric measure theory."(Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969).

[7] F.R. Gantmaher. "Theory of matrices" (Nauka, Moscow, 1988).

[8] E. Hewitt, K.A. Ross. "Abstract harmonic analysis" (Sec. ed., Springer, Berlin, 1979).

[9] C.J. Isham, J. Milnor in: "Relativity, groups and topology" II. Editors Witt B.S. De, Stora R.(Amst.: Elsevier, P.1007- 1290, 1984).

[10] A.Yu. Khrennikov. "Mathematical methods of non-Archimedean physics"// Usp. Mat. Nauk. 1990. V. **45**, N 4, P. 79-110.

[11] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Measures on diffeomorphism groups of non-Archimedean Banach manifolds"// Usp. Mat. Nauk. 1996. V. **61**, N 3, P. 169-170.

[12] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Measures on diffeomorphism groups of non-Archimedean manifolds, representations of groups and their applications"// Theor. and Math. Phys. 1999. V. **119**, N 3, P. 381-396.

[13] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Quasi-invariant measures on non-Archimedean semigroups of loops"// Usp. Mat. Nauk. 1998. V. **53**, N 3, P. 203-204.

[14] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Irreducible unitary representations of non-Archimedean groups of diffeomorphisms"// Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics. 1998, V. **22**, P. 419-436.

[15] S.V. Ludkovsky. "Properties of quasi-invariant measures on topological groups and associated algebras"// Annales Mathem. B. Pascal. 1999. V. **6**, N 1, P. 33-45.

[16] A. Mądrecki. "Minlos' theorem in non-Archimedean locally convex spaces"// Comment. Math. (Warsaw). 1991, V. **30**, P. 101-111.

[17] A. Mądrecki. "Some negative results on existence of Sazonov topology in l-adic Frechet spaces"// Arch. Math. 1991, V. **56**, P. 601-610.

[18] A. Mądrecki. "On Sazonov type topology in p-adic Banach space"// Math. Zeit. 1985, V. **188**, P. 225-236.

[19] A.P. Monna, T.A. Springer. "Integration non-Archimedienne"// Indag. Math. **25**(1963), 634-653.

[20] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein. "Topological vector spaces". (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1985).

[21] A.C.M. van Rooij. "Non-Archimedean functional analysis". (Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1978).

[22] W.H. Schikhof. "Ultrametric calculus". (Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1984).

[23] W.H. Schikhov. "On p-adic compact operators". Report **8911** (Dept. Math. Cath. Univ., Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1989).

[24] Schikhof W.H. "A Radon-Nikodym theorem for non-Archimedean integrals and absolutely continuous measures on groups"// Indag. Math. Ser. A. 1971. V. **33**, N 1, P. 78-85.

[25] A.V. Skorohod. "Integration in Hilbert space" (Springer- Verlag, Berlin, 1974).

[26] N.N. Vahaniya, V.I. Tarieladze, S.A. Chobanyan. "Probability distributions in Banach spaces". (Nauka, Moscow, 1985).

[27] V.S. Vladimirov, I.V. Volovich, E.I. Zelenov, "p-Adic analysis and mathematical physics". (Nauka, Moscow, 1994).

[28] Y. Jang. "Non-Archimedean quantum mechanics" // Tohoku Math. Publ. N 10, 1998.

Address: Theoretical Department,
Institute of General Physics,
Russian Academy of Sciences,
Str. Vavilov 38, Moscow, 117942, Russia